Biweekly P2I Meeting
Tuesday, August 8, 2017 – 2:30 – 4:00 PM

**Present:** Adrian Sinkler, Bill Humphreys, Christian Adams, Eleonora White, Jenny Albrecht, Russell Cannon, Segan Jobe, Steve Syverson, Steve Walline, Toni Hartsfield, Uma Raghavan

**Absent:** Amy Stutesman, Christine Howard, Marci Myers, Sara Ali

**Agenda:**
- Welcome and Intros
- Review Goals of P2I and Discuss Timeline
- Review FY18 Operating Budget and RCM Impact on Schools
- Review Key Decisions Made to Date
- Q&A
- Messaging

➢ **Main Concepts Covered**

1. **P2I Goals**
   - Distribution: 80% FTE, 20% Majors (method of calculating FTE different for Grad than Undergrad)
2. **Representing Your Unit in this Process**
   - Meetings biweekly starting September; send proxy to represent your unit if you can’t make it
   - Talk to your cohort in PDP if you have concerns, follow up, questions, etc.
3. **What we are working to achieve (three “bubbles”)**
   - RCM model refining: almost complete (key decisions have been made)
   - Mission, etc.: CET, Chancellor
   - Analysis: bulk of P2I’s work
4. **Overview of Decisions Made/Processes**
   - All contributed to decision to move to modified RCM budget model
   - Review when you have time
   - FY18: use of baseline sets fixed cost increases for one year to smooth transitions
5. **Purpose of Meetings**
   - IPB/IR: to provide tools/information to group and respond to requests
6. **CAD Retreat Outcomes**
   - FTE vs. SCH: FTE balances out weight more than SCH
   - Seattle also switched to 80/20 from 60/40
   - Uncommitted RCM dollars: Deans to decide on other 50% by October 1
   - Deans are making decisions within short timeline given School immediate needs
   - PTL funds: costs between FYPP and Schools need to be determined
   - Deficits: Chancellor’s Exec Team to come up with a plan; must be resolved by FY19-FY20
   - CAD to further discuss carryover, but ultimately Chancellor’s decision
- Vacant Faculty Line Plans: templates to be created to help with this plan creation
- Reduction of PTL is not dollar driven or cost saving measure, it's faculty composition target driven

7. FY18 Operating Highlights
   - State GOF only
   - Other revenue is mostly SQ and building fees

8. Enrollment Cap
   - IPB/IR to help with transfer vs. new enrollment count complexities

9. Fall Goals
   - Budget template: a standardized assessment tool to help units/schools look at actuals and with forecasting/future planning

➢ Next Steps:
   - IPB/IR to send P2I a project timeline for review/input
   - Next P2I Meeting: September 12